



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,968	10/03/2001	Shingo Kuramochi	JP920000250US1	2672

7590 01/29/2009
Andrew M. Calderon, Esq.
Greenblum and Bernstein P.L.C
1950 Roland Clarke Place
Reston, VA 20191

EXAMINER

FLEURANTIN, JEAN B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2162

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/29/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/970,968	Applicant(s) KURAMOCHI, SHINGO	
	Examiner JEAN B. FLEURANTIN	Art Unit 2162	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEAN B. FLEURANTIN. (3) ____.

(2) John Preta (43,017). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPTN 5,867,110 issued to Naito in view of USPTN 6,263,347 issued to Kobayashi, further in view of USPTN 5,835,916 issued to Inaki, and USPTN 5,544,052 issued to Fujita.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the nature of the claimed invention, specifically the 35 USC 103(a) rejection, the limitations of "management area in a building", and a proposed amendment. Also clarification concerning box-7: PTOL-326, dated 11/20/2008. A response to the outstanding Office action will be submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/JEAN B. FLEURANTIN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162	
---	--